## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

JOHNNY LAPAGLIA,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
V.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO
	§	
ALLSTATE VEHICLE AND	§	
PROPERTY INSURANCE COMPANY,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	<b>§</b>	

# DEFENDANT ALLSTATE VEHICLE AND PROPERTY INSURANCE COMPANY'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL

#### TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446, Defendant Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company in Cause No. 16-09-10638, pending in the 284th Judicial District Court of Montgomery County, Texas, files this Notice of Removal from that court to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division, on the basis of diversity of citizenship and amount in controversy and respectfully shows:

## I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

1.1 On or about September 12, 2016, Plaintiff filed Plaintiff's Original Petition in the matter styled *Johnny Lapaglia v. Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company*, Cause No. 16-09-10638, pending in the 284th Judicial District Court of Montgomery County, Texas, in which Plaintiff made a claim for damages to his home under a homeowner's insurance policy with Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company.

1.2 Plaintiff served Defendant Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company ("Allstate") with Plaintiff's Original Petition and process on September 20, 2016, by certified mail on its registered agent, CT Corporation System.

1.3 Simultaneously with the filing of this notice of removal, attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is the Index of Matters Being Filed. A copy of the Montgomery County District Clerk's file for this case is attached as Exhibit "B" which includes true and correct copies of all executed process, pleadings and orders, and a copy of the docket sheet. Attached hereto as Exhibit "C" is the Designation of Counsel.

## II. BASIS FOR REMOVAL

- 2.1 Defendant files this notice of removal within 30 days of receiving Plaintiff's Original Petition. *See* 28 U.S.C. §1446(b). This Notice of Removal is being filed within one year of the commencement of this action. *See id*.
- 2.2 Removal is proper based upon diversity of citizenship under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a)(1), 1441(a), and 1446.

#### A. THE PARTIES ARE OF DIVERSE CITIZENSHIP.

2.3 Plaintiff is, and was at the time the lawsuit was filed, a natural person and a resident of Montgomery County in the State of Texas and thus, is a citizen of Texas. *See* Plaintiff's Original Petition, ¶ 2. On information and belief, Plaintiff intends to continue residing in Texas and is thus domiciled in Texas. *See Hollinger v. Home State Mut. Ins. Co.*, 654 F.3d 564, 571 (5th Cir. 2011) (evidence of a person's place of residence is prima facie proof of his state of domicile, which presumptively continues unless rebutted with sufficient evidence of change).

2.4 Defendant Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company is an Illinois corporation with its principal place of business in Illinois and is a citizen of the State of Illinois for diversity purposes, and therefore, complete diversity exists.

# B. THE AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY EXCEEDS THE JURISDICTIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION.

- 2.5 In determining the amount in controversy, the court may consider "policy limits... penalties, statutory damages, and punitive damages." *St. Paul Reinsurance Co., Ltd. v. Greenberg*, 134 F.3d 1250, 1253 (5th Cir. 1998); *see Ray v. State Farm Lloyds*, No. CIV.A.3:98-CV-1288-G, 1999 WL 151667, at \* 2-3 (N.D. Tex. Mar. 10, 1999) (finding a sufficient amount in controversy in plaintiff's case against their insurance company for breach of contract, fraud, negligence, gross negligence, bad faith, violations of the Texas Insurance Code, violations of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and mental anguish); *Fairmont Travel, Inc. v. George S. May Int'l Co.*, 75 F. Supp.2d 666, 668 (S.D. Tex. 1999) (considering DTPA claims and the potential for recovery of punitive damages for the amount in controversy determination); *Chittick v. Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 844 F. Supp. 1153, 1155 (S.D. Tex. 1994) (finding a sufficient amount in controversy after considering the nature of the claims, the types of damages sought and the presumed net worth of the defendant in a claim brought by the insureds against their insurance company for actual and punitive damages arising from a claim they made for roof damages).
- 2.6 This is a civil action in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant is liable under a residential insurance policy because Plaintiff made a claim under that policy and Defendant wrongfully adjusted and denied Plaintiff's claim.
- 2.7 Plaintiff has specifically pled that he is seeking monetary relief over \$200,000, but not more than \$1,000,000. *See* Plaintiff's Original Petition,  $\P$  78. This evidence clearly demonstrates that the amount in controversy in this case exceeds the jurisdictional requirements.

III.
THE REMOVAL IS PROCEDURALLY CORRECT

3.1 Defendant Allstate was served with Plaintiff's Original Petition and process on

September 20, 2016. This notice of removal is filed within the 30-day time period required by

28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

3.2 Venue is proper in this District and Division under 28 U.S.C. §1446(a) because

this District and Division include the county in which the state action has been pending and

because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims allegedly occurred in this

District and Division.

3.3 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(a), all pleadings, process, orders, and all other filings

in the state court action are attached to this Notice.

3.4 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), promptly after Defendant files this Notice,

written notice of the filing will be given to Plaintiff, the adverse party.

3.5 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), a true and correct copy of this Notice of Removal

will be filed with the Clerk of the Montgomery County District Court, promptly after Defendant

files this Notice.

IV. CONCLUSION

4.1 Based upon the foregoing, the exhibits submitted in support of this Removal and

other documents filed contemporaneously with this Notice of Removal and fully incorporated

herein by reference, Defendant Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company hereby removes

this case to this Court for trial and determination.

### Respectfully submitted,

#### /s/ Eric K. Bowers

Eric K. Bowers

State Bar No. 24045538

Attorney-in-Charge

Southern District No. 658370

ebowers@thompsoncoe.com

Roger D. Higgins

State Bar No. 09601500, IL 6182756

Of Counsel

Southern District No. 33282

rhiggins@thompsoncoe.com

THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P.

700 North Pearl Street, 25th Floor

Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 871-8200 Fax: (214) 871-8209

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT ALLSTATE VEHICLE AND PROPERTY INSURANCE COMPANY

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that on October 20, 2016, a copy of this document was served to all Counsel of Record via electronic notice and/or certified mail, return receipt requested to:

James M. McClenny
james@mma-pllc.com
Zach Moseley
zach@mma-pllc.com
Derek L. Fadner
McCLENNY MOSELEY & ASSOCIATES, PLLC
411 N. Sam Houston Parkway E., Suite 200
Houston, Texas 77060
Principal Office No. 713-334-6121

Facsimile: 713-322-5953

/s/ Eric K. Bowers

Eric K. Bowers